Statement for the Record to the House Committee on Ways and Means Subcommittee on Health

Protecting Patients from Surprise Medical Bills

Garry Brydges, PhD, DNP, MBA, ACNP-BC, CRNA, FAAN
President, American Association of Nurse Anesthetists
21 May 2019

Introduction

Chairman Doggett and Members of the Subcommittee, thank you for the opportunity to offer this statement for the record. The American Association of Nurse Anesthetists (AANA) is the professional association for Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetists (CRNAs) and student registered nurse anesthetists, with membership that includes more than 53,000 CRNAs and student nurse anesthetists representing over 90 percent of the nurse anesthetists in the United States. CRNAs are advanced practice registered nurses (APRNs) who personally administer more than 45 million anesthetics to patients each year in the United States. CRNAs provide acute, chronic, and interventional pain management services. In some states, CRNAs are the sole anesthesia providers in nearly 100 percent of rural hospitals, affording these medical facilities obstetrical, surgical, trauma stabilization, and pain management capabilities.

Address the Underlying Causes of Surprise Billing by Directing CMS to Issue a Proposed Rule on Provider Non-Discrimination Provision and by Promoting Adequate Provider Networks in Medicare Advantage Plans and Health Plans Inside the Marketplace

The AANA appreciates the Subcommittee's concern regarding patients being surprised by out-of-network bills. The economic burden of receiving care out-of-network can be substantial for patients. Furthermore, knowing which providers and services are in-network and out-of-network is a huge burden for the patient as well as the provider and the facility. The Subcommittee can address this issue best by addressing the underlying causes, such as inadequate networks offered by insurance plans and plans engaging in discrimination against providers based on their licensure or certification. While this is not an issue under Medicare Part B, as Medicare recognizes CRNAs as qualified providers and CRNAs must accept assignment as a condition for payment, this is an issue with private health plans, thus potentially affecting the private payer market and Medicare Advantage plans.

Therefore, it is important to highlight the harms of discrimination CRNAs currently face in the selection criteria that certain health plans develop, which determines the selection of providers that participate in their networks. CRNAs, acting within the scope of their license or certification under applicable state law or regulation, have experienced discrimination with respect to participation in qualified health plans, thus forcing them to become an out-of-network provider. Such discrimination may exacerbate surprise billing, impair consumer choice, reduce competition, and affect healthcare costs.

We recommend that the Subcommittee direct CMS to use its authority to further implement the federal provider nondiscrimination law by issuing a proposed rule. In 2010, Congress passed this provision in the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Sec. 1201, Subpart 1, creating a new Public Health Service Act Sec. 2706(a), "Non- Discrimination in Health Care, 42 USC §300gg-5), which prohibits health plans from discriminating against qualified licensed

_

¹ See 42 §414.60 (c).

² Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Sec. 1201, Subpart 1, creating a new Public Health Service Act Sec. 2706(a), Non-Discrimination in Healthcare (42 U.S.C. §.300gg-5). The statutory provision reads as follows: "(a) Providers.--A group health plan and a health insurance issuer offering group or individual health insurance coverage shall not discriminate with respect to participation under the plan or coverage against any healthcare

healthcare professionals, such as CRNAs, solely on the basis of their licensure. This law promotes access to healthcare and consumer choice of healthcare professionals and helps reduce healthcare costs through competition. It prohibits discrimination based on provider licensure that keeps patients from getting the care they need.

To promote patient access to high quality healthcare, market competition and cost efficiency, all qualified health plans must all avoid discrimination against qualified, licensed healthcare professionals, such as CRNAs, solely on the basis of licensure or certification. Proper implementation of the provider nondiscrimination provision is crucial because health plans have wide latitude to determine the quantity, type, and geographic location of healthcare professionals they include in their networks, based on the needs of their enrollees. However, when health plans organize their healthcare delivery in such a way that discriminate against whole classes of qualified licensed healthcare professionals by licensure or certification, for example, by prohibiting reimbursement for anesthesia and pain management services provided by CRNAs, patient access to care is impaired, consumer choice suffers, and healthcare costs climb for lack of competition. Additionally, such discrimination provides incentives for the use of higher-cost providers without improving quality or access to care. Promoting nondiscrimination encourages the use of qualified, licensed, and certified healthcare professionals such as CRNAs who perform the same services to the same high level of quality as other qualified providers.

While we recognize that CMS has developed network adequacy standards in Medicare Advantage plans and in the Marketplace, the Subcommittee should direct CMS to do more to ensure that health carriers maintain networks that are sufficient in numbers and types of providers to assure that all services to covered persons will be accessible to them without unreasonable delay. The AANA believes that patients benefit the greatest from a healthcare system where they receive easily accessible care from an appropriate choice of safe, high quality and cost-effective providers, such as CRNAs. Therefore, we request that CRNAs be included in all health carrier network plans, which will help ensure network adequacy, access and affordability to consumers.

AANA Comment: Direct HHS to Work with Stakeholders in Developing Guidance to Educate Consumers on Questions to Ask Their Insurance Companies

We also recommend that the Subcommittee direct the Department of Health and Human Services to work with healthcare stakeholders, such as CRNAs, in developing consumer guidance documents on surprise billing and out-of-network coverage and resources for assistance. For instance, this guidance could provide consumers with the education needed to know what questions to ask their insurance plans prior to procedures and where to go for help. We are happy to assist in the development of these patient tools.

provider who is acting within the scope of that provider's license or certification under applicable State law. This section shall not require that a group health plan or health insurance issuer contract with any healthcare provider willing to abide by the terms and conditions for participation established by the plan or issuer. Nothing in this section shall be construed as preventing a group health plan, a health insurance issuer, or the Secretary from establishing varying reimbursement rates based on quality or performance measures."

Conclusion

In conclusion, addressing some of the underlying causes of surprise billing, such as inadequate networks offered by insurance plans and plans engaging in discrimination against providers based on their licensure or certification, along with patient education would help reduce the sticker shock associated with needed medical services and would promote patient access to a range of beneficial, safe and cost-efficient healthcare professionals, consistent with public interests in quality, access and cost-effectiveness. The AANA and its members look forward to collaborating with the Subcommittee on this very important issue.